1	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
2	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Have a seat, everyone.
3	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Criminal cause for motion
4	hearing, docket 16-CR-634. USA versus Torneos y Competencias.
5	Will the parties please state their appearances for
6	the record, starting with the government.
7	MR. NITZE: Sam Nitze, Evan Norris, Brian Morris and
8	Kristin Mace for the United States.
9	Good afternoon, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
11	MR. MASSEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. David
12	Massey, Lee Richards and Maria Lapetina of Richards Kibbe &
13	Orbe. With us here at counsel table are Ignacio Galarza, who
14	is the general manager of Torneos. He joined the company in
15	June of 2015. He serves as the chief executive officer.
16	Also, Pedro Castro Nevares joined in July of 2015. He's the
17	legal director and chief compliance officer and functions as
18	the general counsel of Torneos.
19	THE COURT: Good afternoon to all of you.
20	Everyone can remain seated during the proceeding,
21	just make sure you use the microphones and speak slowly and
22	clearly.
23	All right. So this matter was initiated by the
24	government based on a motion to proceed by way of felony
25	information against this corporate defendant whom I'll refer

to simply as Torneos because my Spanish is awful.

Also the government filed, a few moments ago, a request not only to proceed by way of information to also place this matter into abeyance for a period of 48 months and to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act for the same period of time. And the government also represents that the defendant Torneos has agreed to these requests and joins in them.

The first matter I want to address is who will be waiving indictment and agreeing to proceed by way of information, and who will also be agreeing to the request to hold in abeyance this matter for 48 months and for the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act.

Now I reviewed the certificate of Torneos' legal director, Mr. Nevares, certifying that Torneos' board of directors passed a resolution authorizing Mr. Massey and Mr. Richards to do this and to act in this capacity. However, I have some concern about relying on the attorneys for this purpose — or rather relying on the attorneys' certification regarding the board resolution to allow the attorneys to do this.

Ordinarily, I think as everyone knows here, the actual board resolution is produced for the Court so that I could assure myself that in fact the board did resolve in this manner. And I think there is good reason for this practice.

To me, relying on Mr. Nevares' certification about what the board resolved is really no different than me relying on one of the lawyers here, Mr. Massey or Mr. Richards, as to what the board resolved. So the attorney certification is really one step removed from the actual resolution, and I think unnecessarily so. And I don't understand why it is that someone didn't submit the board resolution, since this is a practice that's been followed in a number of cases and seems to me an appropriate one.

Now I will say this, however, I understand, as you mentioned a moment ago, Mr. Massey, that the general manager, who is the equivalent of the CEO of Torneos is here,
Mr. Galarza. And he's been authorized, I understand, via this certification that I read that he can also execute these waivers and make these representations to the Court, and has been given that authority by the board of directors. I will allow Mr. Galarza to be the one to enter these waivers and make these representations on the record, in part because of the certification about the board resolution, but really because by virtue of his position he can bind Torneos and so it seems more appropriate for me to hear from him and for him to be sworn under oath to basically bind Torneos with respect to these very significant waivers that are being entered today.

So I am going to have Mr. Galarza put under oath.

1 If you will stand, sir, and raise your right hand. 2. (Whereupon, Mr. Galarza was sworn.) 3 THE COURT: Please state your full name for the 4 record. 5 MR. GALARZA: Ignacio Juan Galarza. 6 THE COURT: What is your current position with 7 Torneos, sir? 8 MR. GALARZA: I am the general manager. 9 THE COURT: How long have you been in that position? 10 MR. GALARZA: Since the 22nd of June 2015. 11 THE COURT: Have you been authorized by the board of 12 directors of Torneos to appear in this matter on their behalf 13 and to waive indictment in this matter? 14 MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: I should ask you, I gather that English 16 isn't your first language, but that you have no difficulty 17 understanding me or everything that's transpired so far; is 18 that correct? 19 MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Now, are you also authorized to waive 21 Torneos' Speedy Trial rights and to agree to a four-year 22 exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act and to allow the 23 government to defer prosecution of Torneos for that period of 24 time? 25 MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor.

Yes, Your Honor.

MR. GALARZA:

THE COURT: Now the information charges Torneos with
knowingly and intentionally conspiring with others to devise a
scheme and artifice to defraud FIFA, CONMEBOL and Concacaf and
their constituent organizations, including to deprive these
organizations of their respective rights to honest and
faithful services through bribes and kickbacks, and to obtain
money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent
pretenses, representations and promises, and for the purposes
of executing such scheme and artifice to transmit and cause to
be transmitted by means of wire communication in interstate
and foreign commerce, writings, signed, signals, pictures and
sounds, specifically wire transfers, emails, faxes, and
telephone calls.
Do you understand that charge?
MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now the information also gives notice
THE COURT: Now the information also gives notice
THE COURT: Now the information also gives notice that the government will seek criminal forfeiture of all
THE COURT: Now the information also gives notice that the government will seek criminal forfeiture of all Torneos' property that constitutes or is derived from proceeds
THE COURT: Now the information also gives notice that the government will seek criminal forfeiture of all Torneos' property that constitutes or is derived from proceeds of this crime.
THE COURT: Now the information also gives notice that the government will seek criminal forfeiture of all Torneos' property that constitutes or is derived from proceeds of this crime. Do you understand that?
THE COURT: Now the information also gives notice that the government will seek criminal forfeiture of all Torneos' property that constitutes or is derived from proceeds of this crime. Do you understand that? MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Now the information also gives notice that the government will seek criminal forfeiture of all Torneos' property that constitutes or is derived from proceeds of this crime. Do you understand that? MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You should also understand that the

Do you understand fully the nature of the crime that

1 Torneos has been charged with and the nature of the forfeiture 2. allegation against Torneos? 3 MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: And do you understand what the 5 government would have to prove in order to prove this charge 6 of wire conspiracy? 7 MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Now instead of an indictment, the felony 9 charges have been brought by way of the filing of an 10 information, as I mentioned a moment ago, by the United States 11 Attorney. Torneos has a constitutional right to be charged by 12 indictment returned by a grand jury, but you have waived that 13 right and consent to being charged by information of the United States. 14 1.5 Do you understand that? 16 MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Now, unless Torneos waives indictment, 18 it cannot be charged with a felony unless a grand jury finds 19 by a return of an indictment that there is probable cause to 20 believe that a crime has been committed, here wire fraud 21 conspiracy, and that the company committed that crime. 22 Do you understand that? 23 MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Now if Torneos does not waive 25 indictment, the government must present the case to the grand

1 jury and ask it to indict the company in order for the company 2 to be charged with this felony offense. 3 Do you understand? 4 MR. GALARZA: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: You should understand that a grand jury is composed of at least 16, but not more than 23 persons, and 6 7 that at least 12 grand jurors must find that there is probable 8 cause to believe that Torneos committed the crime with which 9 it is charged before it may be indicted. 10 Do you understand that? 11 MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: The grand jury, you should understand, 13 might or might not indict Torneos. 14 Do you understand that? 15 MR. GALARZA: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: If Torneos waived indictment by the 17 grand jury, however, the case will proceed against it on the 18 U.S. Attorney's information alone just as though Torneos had 19 been indicted. 20 Do you understand that? 21 MR. GALARZA: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Galarza, do you fully understand the 23 right to indictment by the grand jury? 24 MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Now does Torneos waive the right to have

not intending to arraign him on the indictment, which I assume

I am going to turn to government for a minute.

24

1 THE COURT: Now I want to note that Section 3161(h)2 2 specifically applies to this situation and provides for the 3 exclusion of time for, and I quote, any period of delay during which prosecution is deferred by the attorney for the 4 5 government, pursuant to written agreement with the defendant, 6 with the approval of the Court for the purpose of allowing the 7 defendant to demonstrate his good conduct. 8 Are you aware of that provision, Mr. Galarza? 9 MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: And is it correct that Torneos has 11 agreed to the exclusion of a four-year period of time for this 12 purpose and as part of its deferred prosecution agreement with 13 the government? 14 MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: And has Torneos also agreed, I quess, to 16 join in the government's motion to hold this case in abeyance 17 for a four-year period? 18 MR. GALARZA: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: I will exclude the time pursuant to 18 20 U.S.C. 3161(h)2 from now until the next 48th month, if someone 21 can figure out when exactly that is. I guess that would be 22 December 13th, 2020, correct? 23 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: That's a Sunday. 24 THE COURT: It's a Sunday. We will move it to the

Monday, so it will be the 14th.

Thank you, judge.

MR. NITZE:

C	14
1	MR. MASSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	(Matter concluded.)
3	
4	* * * *
5	
6	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
7	
8	s/ Georgette K. Betts December 14, 2016
9	GEORGETTE K. BETTS DATE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	